Tooele County School District Benchmark Assessment Data Results Analysis Protocol

Teacher:			Class	/Grade:		Assessme	ent:		Date:
the data decision needing Complet	a meeting. Pan—making aim to be retaug ted intervention	ocol consists of threat 2 involves answered at addressing over the tand reassessed. on & instructional properties of the tand reassessed.	ering global and verall strengths a Part 3 is to be fiplans are to be su	detailed question of the concerns. Particular of the concerns	ns during a dat art 3 is a more o lly or by team r gnated building ections usina	a results meeting detailed interven nembers within a administrator a assessment res	g to guide collabo tion & instruction a week following fter each district	rative discussional plan laying of collaborative disconnective disconnec	prior to attending on and instructional out standards at a results meetings essment.
Inc	dividual Teac	her Performance F	-		na se asea te g		ien ej eiussieen.	perjormance	
Suk	bject/Period	Section (AP, Honors, General, Inclusion, Self-Contained)	# Taking assessment	# Passing	# Failing	% Proficient (# Passing/# Taking)	# Scoring 80% or above	# Scoring 60% - 79%	# Scoring Below 60%
	TOTALS								
Sta	andard(s) Ass	s essed: List standar	rds based on who	ole group proficie	ency from lowes	t to highest.			
	1		2		3	_	4		
	5		6		7		8		
Questio	on-level analy	sis: (List questions	on which stude	nts performed p	oorly, usually l	ess than 60% pro	oficient)		
Question #(s	s)								
Standard(s Assessed									

Part 2: Data Meeting Discussion

Complete the following sections during collaborative data results analysis meetings.

This is information that can be used to guide collaborative discussion and decision-making.

Identify Roles: 3 min		Date		
Team:		Date:		
Facilitator:	Timer:	Recorder:		
Today's Objective: Assessment Anal	vsis			
	may be used to guide discussion and a	ddress strengths and concerns.		
Global Questions:		Detailed Questions:		
How well did the class do as a	a whole?	Bombed questions – Did students all choose the same wrong answer? Why or why not?		
to do well on? Based upon or expected? Who are the strong and weal What standards did students	d questions we had expected students ur instruction, were the results k students in this assessment? do well on overall? which they performed poorly? he most?	Break down each standard: Did students do similarly on every question within the standard or were some questions harder? Why? Compare similar standards: Do results in one influence the other? Sort data by students' scores: Are there questions that separate proficient and non-proficient students? Look horizontally by student on the question-level report: Are there any anomalies occurring within certain student's responses?		
Strengths: (3 min)				

Part 2: Data Meeting Decisions

Analysis of Standards With Weakest Mastery: Why did students not learn the standard? (10 min)
Whole Class Tier I Instruction: What standard(s) warrant more time for whole class instruction and review? (10 min)
Tier I Instructional Plan: What instructional strategies could be used to re-address these standards? (10 min)
Tier i instructional Plan: what instructional strategies could be used to re-address these standards? (10 min)
Tight I Small Crown Instruction, Identify 2.2 standards that warrant more time for small grown instruction and review. (10 min)
Tier II Small Group Instruction: Identify 2-3 standards that warrant more time for small group instruction and review. (10 min)
•
•
•
Tier II Instructional Plan: How could this be structured, when could this happen, be reassessed? (10 min)

Part 3: Intervention & Instructional Plan

*To be submitted following each district benchmark assessment

Complete the following sections individually or as a team within week of analysis. This is information to guide intervention and instructional planning in the weeks following test.

For Teacher/Team	Gr	ade	Subject		Date
Tier I Interventions: Wi	hole Group				
Re-Teach Standard: List most critical standard(s) or combination that need to be retaught to the whole class? Instructional Plan: What s standard? What will be different to the whole class?				Explicit Time: When exactly will this take place?	Reassessment Plan: How and when will the success of this new strategy be assessed?
Standard:					
Standard:					
Standard:					
Tier II Interventions: Sr					
Group 1 Students	Re-Teach Standard: What standard(s) needs to be retaught to this group during core instructional time?	Instructional Plan: What strategies will be used to address this standard? What will be different about how the instruction is delivered?			Timeline: When will this be accomplished and re-assessed?

Tier II Interventions: Small Group 2

Group 1 Students	Re-Teach Standard: What standard(s) needs to be retaught to this group during core instructional time?	Instructional Plan: What strategies will be used to address this standard? What will be different about how the instruction is delivered?	Timeline: When will this be accomplished and re-assessed?

Tier II or III Interventions: Individual Students

Student	Re-Teach Standard: What standard(s) needs to be re-taught?	Instructional Plan: What strategies will be used to address this standard?	When will this happen and how will the student's progress be monitored?	Notes – additional actions and monitoring needed

6 Week Instructional Plan for		

WEEK 1	WEEK 2	WEEK 3
Standards for Review	Standards for Review	Standards for Review
New Standards to Address	New Standards to Address	New Standards to Address
WEEK 4	WEEK 5	WEEK 6
Standards for Review	Standards for Review	Standards for Review
New Standards to Address	New Standards to Address	New Standards to Address